

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO.                      |  |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 10/007,509  | 12/05/2001  | Nelson Douglas Pitlor | PITLP101USA              | 2338                                  |  |
| 7590 07/20/2006   |             |                       | EXAM                     | INER                                  |  |
| Warren A. Sklar   |             |                       | EPPS, TODD MICHAEL       |                                       |  |
| Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP 1621 Euclid Avenue, 19th Floor |             |                       | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER                          |  |
| Cleveland, OH 44115-2191  |             |                       | 3632                     | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |
|   |             |                       | DATE MAIL ED: 07/20/2006 |                                       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)           |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|
| 10/007,509      | PITLOR, NELSON DOUGLAS |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit               |  |  |
| Todd M. Epps    | 3632                   |  |  |

|  | Todd M. Epps   | 3632   |                                |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add                              | ress                           |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED July 12, 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>te with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C   | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3) |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailings). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejecti                    | on.                            |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                          |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief,   | , will <u>not</u> be entered b                 | ecause                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>  | w);  | ·  | the issues for                 |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |  | maliant Amandment                              | (DTOL 224)                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>   |  | impliant Amenument                             | (PTOL-324).                    |  |  |  |  |
| Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).   |  | timely filed amendme                           | ent canceling the              |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 7-10 and 16-18.  |  | II be entered and an e                         | explanation of                 |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: 6, 11-15,19-21,27,68-76 and 85-88. Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S                                    | al and/or appellant fa<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)( | ils to provide a<br>1).        |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attac                         | ned.                           |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Jodd M Epps 7/18/06  | A.J.   | 433  |                                |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The word "mount" raises new issue that would require further consideration and search..